WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1	1. A method for evaluating transactions for suspicious activity, the			
2	method comprising:			
3	providing a reference designator list, wherein the reference designator list			
4	includes at least a subset of information available from a first transaction system that is			
5	associated with suspicious activity;			
6	evaluating a first transaction associated with the first transaction system using			
7	the reference designator list to detect suspicious activity; and			
8	evaluating a second transaction associated with a second transaction system			
using the reference designator list to detect suspicious activity.				
41	2. The method of claim 1, wherein the subset of information is a first			
	subset of information, the method further comprising:			
13	receiving a second subset of information from the first transaction system; and			
34	incorporating the second subset of information into the reference designator			
5	list.			
15 1 1 1 1 2 m 3	3. The method of claim 2, the method further comprising:			
	, ,			
	receiving a third subset of information from the second transaction system;			
	and			
4	incorporating the third subset of information into the reference designator list.			
1	4. The method of claim 2, wherein the incorporating the second subset of			
2	information into the reference designator list comprises:			
3	creating a new reference designator;			
4	associating the second subset of information with the new reference			
5	designator; and			
6	adding the new reference designator to the reference designator list.			
1	5. The method of claim 3, wherein the second subset of information			
2	comprises a telephone number.			
1	6. The method of claim 3, wherein the second subset of information			
2	comprises a credit card number.			

1		7.	The method of claim 3, wherein the second subset of information		
2	comprises a name.				
		0	The method of claim 3, wherein the second subset of information		
1		8.			
2	comprises an	address	·•		
1		9.	The method of claim 1, wherein the first transaction system is a system		
2	for analyzing authorization requests, and wherein the authorization request is received via a				
3	telephone call.				
4		10	The method of claim 1, wherein the first transaction system:		
		10.			
12	receives an authorization request at a receiving center, wherein the				
1=3 41	authorization request is associated with a request to charge a credit account;				
11,4	determining an origin of the authorization request; and				
15	comparing the origin of the authorization request with the information point in				
	the reference designator list.				
1 2 2 3 4		11	The method of claim 1, wherein the first transaction system:		
		11.			
			ves an authorization request at a receiving center, wherein the		
	authorization		t is associated with a request to charge a credit account;		
4			mining an origin of the authorization request;		
5	investigating the origin of the authorization request, wherein it is determined				
6	that the authorization request is suspicious; and				
7	providing the origin of the authorization, wherein the origin of the				
8	authorization comprises at least a portion of the subset of information and is incorporated into				
9	the reference	design	ator list.		
1		12.	The method of claim 11, wherein the origin of the authorization		
2	request is a te		ne number associated with a location from which the authorization request		
3	is initiated.				
J	15 minutes.				
1		13.	A method for detecting fraudulent authorization requests, the method		
2	comprising:				
3		recei	ving an authorization request at a receiving center, wherein the		
4	authorization request is associated with a request to charge a credit account;				

x

5	determining an origin of the authorization request; and			
6	comparing the origin of the authorization request to one or more data			
7	associated with suspicious activity.			
1	14. The method of claim 13, wherein the origin of the authorization			
2	request is a telephone number.			
1	15. The method of claim 14, wherein the one or more data associated with			
2	suspicious activity are included in a reference designator list.			
1	16. The method of claim 13, wherein the one or more data associated with			
2	suspicious activity are included in a reference designator list, and wherein at least one of the			
ĽB	one or more data was received from a fraud detection system implementing a method selected			
	from the group consisting of:			
<u> </u>	a method for detecting suspicious money transfers; and			
46	a method for detecting suspicious credit card activity.			
1 1 2 3 4	17. A system for evaluating transaction for suspicious behavior, the system comprising:			
1113	a first transaction system; and			
11 ₄	a second transaction system, wherein both the first and the second transaction			
5	systems are in communication with a fraud detection system.			
1	18. The system of claim 17, wherein the fraud detection system compiles			
2	suspicious information from the first transaction system and suspicious information from the			
3	suspicious information from the first transaction system and suspicious information from the second transaction system into a reference designator list available to both the first and			
4	second systems.			
1	19. The system of claim 18, wherein the first transaction system			
2	comprises:			
3	a receiving center adapted to receive an authorization request to charge a			
4	credit account; and			
5	a fraud investigation system coupled to the receiving center, wherein the fraud			
6	investigation system is configured to determine if the authorization request is suspicious.			

- 1 20. The system of claim 19, wherein the second transaction system
- 2 comprises:
- a money transfer system.